Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s) MATSUI, KENTA		
	09/893,644			
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Yixing Qin	2622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED () or other appropriate comm IIGHTS. This application is	in this application. If not includent nunication will be mailed in due	led course, THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>22 November 2005</u> .			-	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5,8,10-14,17,19-23 and 26</u> .				
 3.	e been received. e been received in Applicati	on No	ation from the	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON'THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the re	equirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subn INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			NOTICE OF	
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 	son's Patent Drawing Revie - 's Amendment / Comment of 1.84(c)) should be written on	or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not th	e back) of	
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 			Note the	
Attachment(s)				
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413), ./Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit 		s Amendment/Comment s Statement of Reasons for All	Owance	
of Biological Material				
	9.	EDWARD COLES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM		
		TECHNOLOGY CENTER 26	iiniek 00	

Application/Control Number: 09/893,644

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/22/05 and claims previously filed on 11/22/05 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 11/22/05 have been fully considered and are persuasive. After further consideration and searching, the Examiner agrees with the argument made that the Blank reference does not explicitly teach the determination of attribute information of a synthesized pixel based upon the attributes of a source and a destination pixel and the transmissivity. Please see more below.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-5, 8, 10-14, 17, 19-23 and 26 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Blank does teach the synthesizing of pixels, but this is only based upon the transmissivity of the layers of images being synthesized and not upon all three criteria as being claimed.

Art Unit: 2622

Other references of record also teach the concept of overlapping of images, but again,

attribute information of the resulting synthesized image (and its pixels). No other prior

the transparency level associated with the images is the determining factor in the

art of record have been found to teach the determination of attribute information of a

synthesized pixel based upon the attributes of a source and a destination pixel and the

transmissivity.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. DeLeeuw (U.S. Patent No. 6,359,631), Kay et al (U.S. Patent No. 6377269) and Shimizu (U.S. Patent No. 6,744,430).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yixing Qin whose telephone number is (571)272-7381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on (571)272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YQ

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600